

Review Commentary

Matthieu P. Boisgontier

Summary of Peer-Review Process

McKay et al. (2021) submitted their manuscript for consideration in *Communications in Kinesiology* on July 1st, 2021. Of four expert reviewers invited, two accepted the invitation and both recommended revisions. One reviewer thought the article would be acceptable pending fairly minor revisions. The other reviewer had one significant concern regarding missing data but thought that this concern was addressable with a revision. Both reviewers reported that the replication of their work was well-conducted.

The authors submitted their revised manuscript on August 3rd, 2021. The authors addressed the concerns of the reviewers and have included the content of their responses in their manuscript. Specifically, they clarified that missing trials had not been dropped but replaced with edge values on the x-axis and maximum y-axis value for the block. Given the transparency in reporting, efforts made to address reviewer concerns, and completeness of revisions, I made the decision to accept the manuscript after this revision.